## Assessment and Delivery of Safety Funding at the Local Level

### **Instructions**

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is examining state department of transportation (DOT) practices for providing resources to local agencies (including Tribal Governments) for road safety improvement projects. The purpose of this effort is to identify noteworthy practices that can be implemented in other states. While this survey is primarily directed at state departments of transportation, respondents are encouraged to reach out to local agency contacts to assist with answering the questions. These might include regional planning organizations, Local Technical Assistance Programs (LTAP), and/or local public agencies. Please answer the following questions related to the identification, prioritization, selection, development, implementation, and administration of safety projects on local roads. It might be helpful to first identify the information you will need to gather, including funding amounts for state or Federal Fiscal Years 2009, 2010, and 2011. You can download the entire survey by selecting the link at the bottom of this screen. You can also view background information on this project at any point during this survey by selecting the link at the bottom of each screen.

### **Acronyms**

The following acronyms are referenced throughout the questionnaire. Detailed definitions of these acronyms and other terms used throughout the survey can be accessed by selecting the link at the bottom of each screen.

HSIP - Highway Safety Improvement Program

HSIP Net - Highway Safety Improvement Program funds minus Railway-Highway Crossing Program and High-Risk Rural Roads Program

HRRRP - High Risk Rural Roads Program

LTAP - Local Technical Assistance Program

SHSP - Strategic Highway Safety Plan

RSA - Road Safety Audit

## **Background Information**

Please provide name and contact information for the primary individual providing information for the questionnaire.

State:
Name:
Department/Agency:
Division/Unit:
Title/Position:
Telephone Number:
E-mail Address:
Did any other agencies provide information for this survey? (Select all that apply)
□ None
☐ Local Agency(s)
□ LTAP
<ul><li>□ LTAP</li><li>□ Regional Planning Organization(s)</li></ul>

#### **State of Practice**

The following questions will be used to collect information on current policies, procedures and practices to improve local road safety.

Fun	din	g:
-----	-----	----

Please specify whether the funding amounts reported are based on the Federal or state fiscal year.

Federal fiscal year (Oct - Sep)	
State fiscal year (specify starting month)	

Does the state set aside (in advance, typically annually) a specific funding amount for local road safety projects? If yes, please specify whether the amount entered is the percent or dollar amount and enter the amount set aside for fiscal years 2009, 2010, and 2011 for the various funding sources. If there is no set aside, enter zero.

	Reporting Value (Select one)	FY 2009 Set Aside Amount	FY 2010 Set-Aside Amount	FY 2011 Set-Aside Amount
HSIP Net	Percent/ Dollar Amount			
HRRRP	Percent/ Dollar Amount			
State Funds	Percent/ Dollar Amount			
Other Fed Highway Funds <sup>a</sup>	Percent/ Dollar Amount			
Other Funds:	Percent/ Dollar Amount			
Other Funds:	Percent/ Dollar Amount			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Federal-aid highway funds such as Surface Transportation Program (STP), Safe Routes to School (SRTS), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ), or American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). Does not include § 402 and similar funds provided to state highway safety offices for behavioral-related programs and projects.

	previous question are determined?	0	asides identified in
□ I	Based on a formula allocation		
□ I	Based on the fatality and/or serious in	jury numbers or rates	
□ I	Determined by decision makers each y	ear	
	Based on a competitive process was improvement (proportion to locals vari	• ,	n potential for safety
□ O	Other, please specify below		
_ O	Other, please explain below.		
	y much was actually spent (obligat rce, in fiscal years 2009, 2010, and 2	,	ects, by each funding
	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
HSIF	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
HSIF	<sup>9</sup> Net	FY 2010	FY 2011
HRR	<sup>9</sup> Net	FY 2010	FY 2011
HRR Othe High	P Net  RRP  er Federal-Aid	FY 2010	FY 2011
HRR Othe High	P Net  PRP  Pr Federal-Aid  nway Funds  Prunds	FY 2010	FY 2011
Othe High State	P Net  RRP  er Federal-Aid nway Funds  e Funds  er:	FY 2010	FY 2011

Does the state use any of the following incentives to encourage local agencies to develop and implement local road safety projects? Select all that apply.
☐ Provide preliminary engineering funding for federally funded projects on local roads.
$\square$ Provide final design funding for federally funded projects on local roads.
$\square$ Provide matching funds for HSIP-eligible projects on local roads.
$\square$ Provide funding for RSAs on federally funded projects on local roads.
☐ Other, please explain below.
☐ Other, please explain below.
Would you like to clarify or elaborate on any local safety funding issues? (Optional)

Cambridge Systematics, Inc.

## **Training and Technical Support**

Which entities provide training to local agencies in the following topic areas for local road safety projects? Select all that apply.

	State <sup>a</sup>	LTAP	МРО	Other (i.e., University, Professional Organization)
Data analysis				
Problem identification				
Countermeasure identification				
Cost-benefit analysis				
Application preparation				
Project development				
Post project evaluation				
Federal regulations/Federal-aid				
Other:				
Other:				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Includes state funded consultants (but not LTAP).

## Which entities provide the following assistance on safety projects for, or on behalf of, local agencies? Select all that apply.

	Statea	LTAP	MPO	Locals <sup>b</sup>
Data analysis				
Problem identification				
Countermeasure identification				
Cost-benefit analysis				
Application preparation				
Project development				
Post project evaluation				
Other:				
Other:				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Includes state funded consultants.

# Which entities provide the following support personnel to local agencies for safety projects? Select all that apply.

	State DOT	LTAP	МРО
Support Personnel			
Local/off-system safety coordinator			
DOT district/region coordinators responsible for local projects			
Consultant services for local project development			
Other:			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> City, county, or other local jurisdiction performs the function or contracts directly with a consultant.

# Does the state DOT have an entity or unit responsible for conducting the following duties for local road safety projects? Select all that apply.

	Division of Local	DOT Traffic and Safety	DOT District Offices	Other (i.e., LTAP in the DOT)		
Develop local project selection criteria						
Review project application						
Provide stewardship and oversight	e stewardship and oversight					
Would you like to clarify or elabo assistance issues? (Optional)	rate on any lo	cal safety train	ning and tech	nical		
How are local road safety projects	selected for f	unding? Sele	ct all that app	ly.		
☐ DOT Safety Advisory Committee						
☐ SHSP emphasis areas						
☐ Competitive application/technical	criteria					
☐ Direct apportionment						
☐ Legislative mandate						
☐ 5% Report						
$\Box$ Other, please explain below.						
☐ Other, please explain below.						
Do local safety projects directly cofunding?	ompete with s	tate projects f	or safety impr	ovement		
□ Yes						
□ No						
☐ I don't know						

sistance issues	s? (Optional)	any local sa	J	O	

### **Noteworthy Practices**

A number of states have implemented practices to improve roadway safety by providing funding and support for safety projects on local roadways. FHWA is interested in learning more about these practices so they can be shared with other states.

Does the state use any of the following practices to streamline the Federal aid process for local agencies? Select all that apply. ☐ Ensure there is a source for local match before projects are selected for implementation. ☐ Provide state funds for local safety projects in lieu of federal-aid highway funds. ☐ Distribute funds to MPOs or other local entities to distribute to local agencies. ☐ Certify a larger local agency administers projects on behalf of smaller local agencies. ☐ Allow local agencies to use their own materials specifications and design standards for roadways off the national highway system (preapproved). ☐ Encourage the use of programmatic agreements between state and local agencies. ☐ Allow programmatic categorical exclusions. ☐ Use a push button project process to expedite certain project types. ☐ Allow agencies to use their own labor and resources to construct small-scale projects, eliminating the competitive bidding process. ☐ Pay local contractors directly instead of reimbursing local agencies (direct-pay). ☐ Group multiple projects together to reduce administrative burden. ☐ Establish a blanket contract to perform safety improvements on local roads. ☐ Provide a single application for multiple funding sources. ☐ Complete or contract for safety improvements on local roads. ☐ Identify systemic safety improvements eligible for safety funding on local roads.  $\square$  Other, please specify.  $\square$  Other, please specify. Would you like to clarify or elaborate on any noteworthy practices? (Optional)

### **Challenges and Barriers**

FHWA realizes states face challenges and barriers when attempting to provide funding and other resources for safety projects on local roadways. Answers to the following questions will document those challenges and help identify ways to overcome them.

Select the top three challenges or barriers limiting your agency's ability to identify, select, and prioritize safety projects on local roads.

☐ Availability of cr	ash data – State does	not collect local roa	ndway crash data	
☐ Availability of cra	ash data – Local law	enforcement do not	report crashes in a t	imely manner
☐ Accuracy of crash	h data			
☐ Completeness of	crash data			
☐ Access to crash d	ata			
☐ Lack of exposure	data (traffic volume	s, lane miles, etc.)		
☐ Lack of proven d	ata analysis techniqu	ies		
$\square$ Other, please spe	ecify.			
☐ Other, please spe	ecify.			
Rate the availabili	ity of data in your		records database. (	
	,	statewide traffic i Available for some local roadways	records database. ( Available for very few local roadways	Select one for  Not available for local roadways
	ity of data in your  Available for most	Available for some	Available for very	Not available for
each)	Available for most	Available for some local roadways	Available for very few local roadways	Not available for local roadways
Fatal crash data Serious injury	Available for most local roadways	Available for some local roadways	Available for very few local roadways	Not available for local roadways

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Exposure data (traffic volume, lane miles, etc.) for local roads.

Select the top three challenges or barriers limiting efforts in your state to develop and implement safety projects on local roads.
☐ Locals lack of understanding of the Federal-aid process
☐ Lack of available funds
□ Policy-related scope creep (i.e. addition of new project/infrastructure elements requiring additional funding and/or approval)
□ Political issues
$\square$ State laws limiting the distribution of federal funds to local agencies
☐ State DOT contracting procedures and processes
☐ Other, please specify.
Other, please specify.
Would you like to clarify or elaborate on any local safety challenges and barriers? (Optional)